

<b>Day 1: August 25<sup>th</sup> 2021</b>	<b>Topic: RI for researchers</b>	Chair: <a href="#">Lex Bouter</a> Online moderator: <a href="#">Samira van der Loo</a>
<b>Time</b>	<b>Theme</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
09.30 – 10.00	<a href="#">Introduction and overview</a>	<a href="#">Lex Bouter</a> , Vrije Universiteit & Amsterdam UMC
10.00 – 10.45	<a href="#">Research integrity in statistics: (mis)reporting and researcher degrees of freedom</a>	<a href="#">Marjan Bakker</a> , Tilburg University
10.45 – 11.00	<i>Coffee break</i>	
11.00 – 11.45	<a href="#">The significance of preprints and evolving models of peer review</a>	<a href="#">Michael Willis</a> , Wiley
11.45 – 12.45	<a href="#">The replicability crisis; the way forward</a>	<a href="#">Daniel Lakens</a> , Eindhoven University of Technology
12.45 – 13.00	1. <a href="#">Investigating the occurrence of reporting bias and publication bias in registered reports with the use of p-curves</a> 2. Researchers' decision making: exercising discretion when navigating ambiguity in research practice	1. Andreas Holst, Maastricht University 2. <a href="#">Tom van Drimmelen</a> , Leiden Universitair Medisch Centrum
12.55 – 14.00	<i>Lunch break</i>	
14.00- 14.30	<a href="#">Preregister your study protocol and data-analysis plan, and publish your data</a>	<a href="#">Tamarinde Haven</a> , Charité Berlin
14.30 – 15.00	<a href="#">Making a publication plan and solving authorship disputes</a>	<a href="#">Joeri Tjink</a> , Vrije Universiteit & Amsterdam UMC
15.00 – 15.45	<a href="#">Responsible supervision, mentoring and role-modelling: Results from the Superb Supervision pilot course</a>	<a href="#">Tamarinde Haven</a> , Charité Berlin
15.45 – 16.00	<i>Coffee break</i>	
16.00 – 16.45	<a href="#">Replication in the Humanities</a>	<a href="#">Rik Peels</a> , Vrije Universiteit
16.45 – 17.15	Open panel discussion with specific question: "What is next?"	Chair: Lex Bouter, Vrije Universiteit & Amsterdam UMC

<b>Day 2: August 26<sup>th</sup> 2021</b>	<b>Topic: What can research institutes and funders do to foster research integrity?</b>	Chair: <a href="#">Joeri Tjink</a> Online moderator: <a href="#">Iris Lechner</a>
Time	Theme	Speaker
09.30 – 10.00	<a href="#">The impact of positive approaches towards RCR education in practice</a>	<a href="#">Mariëtte van den Hoven</a> , Vrije Universiteit & Amsterdam UMC
10.00 – 10.45	<a href="#">What sort of values do RI codes contain?</a>	<a href="#">Jeroen de Ridder</a> , Vrije Universiteit
10.45 – 11.00	<i>Coffee break</i>	
11.00 – 11.45	<a href="#">Developing evidence-based guidelines and SOPs for ensuring research integrity – the SOPs4RI project</a>	<a href="#">Maura Hiney</a> , Health Research Board Ireland
11.45 – 12.30	<a href="#">Embassy of Good Science</a>	<a href="#">Natalie Evans</a> , Amsterdam UMC
12.30 – 12.45	1. <a href="#">Research integrity practices at UMC's</a> 2. <a href="#">Academic integrity in the context of systemic change</a>	1. <a href="#">Delwen Franzen</a> , QUEST: Charité Berlin 2. <a href="#">Martijn van der Meer</a> , Universiteit Utrecht
12.45 – 13.30	<i>Lunch break</i>	
13.30 – 14.15	<a href="#">RI Education – An Overview</a>	<a href="#">Julia Priess-Buchheit</a> , Coburg University of Applied Sciences and Art
14.15 – 15.00	<a href="#">How to be a whistleblower and handling conflicts of interests</a>	<a href="#">Lex Bouter</a> , Vrije Universiteit & Amsterdam UMC
15.00 – 15.45	<a href="#">How to treat allegations of breaches of research integrity, incl. importance of protecting whistleblowers and the scholars they accuse</a>	<a href="#">Ton Hol</a> , Universiteit Utrecht
15.45 – 16.00	<i>Coffee break</i>	
16.00 – 16.45	<a href="#">Importance of research climate, based on ARCA</a>	<a href="#">Tamarinde Haven</a> , Charité Berlin
16.45 – 17.15	Open panel discussion with specific themes: what should be implemented at each research institute?	Chair: <a href="#">Joeri Tjink</a> , Vrije Universiteit

<b>Day 3: August 27<sup>th</sup> 2021</b>	<b>Topic: What can journals do to foster research integrity?</b>	Chair: <a href="#">Jeroen de Ridder</a> Online moderator: <a href="#">Iris Lechner</a>
<b>Timeslot</b>	<b>Theme</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
09.30 – 10.00	<a href="#">Overview of other RI-stakeholders</a>	<a href="#">Lex Bouter</a> , Vrije Universiteit & Amsterdam UMC
10.00 – 11.00	<a href="#">What's the point of authorship?</a>	<a href="#">Joshua Habgood-Coote</a> , University of Leeds
11.00 – 11.15	<i>Coffee break</i>	
11.15 – 12.00	<a href="#">Responsible Peer Review: expectations, formal and informal practices</a>	<a href="#">Serge Horbach</a> , Aarhus University
12.00 – 12.45	<a href="#">The editors-perspective; how to deal with a changing publication system</a>	<a href="#">Ana Marusic</a> , University of Split
12.45 – 13.05	1. The Observatory of Biomedical Journals Initiative - The OBJ Initiative 2. <a href="#">PRECHECK: A checklist to evaluate COVID-19 preprints</a> 3. <a href="#">Predatory Journals: Do not judge journals by their editorial board members</a> 4. <a href="#">Funding Agencies' responsibilities to foster research integrity</a>	1. <a href="#">Lucas Helal</a> , UNESCO 2. <a href="#">Eva Furrer</a> , University of Zurich 3. <a href="#">Dr. Sandra Lopez Leon</a> 4. <a href="#">Christian Wendelborn</a> , DATABLIC
13.05 – 13.45	<i>Lunch break</i>	
13.45 – 14.30	<a href="#">Research Integrity: the role of publishers</a>	<a href="#">Joris van Rossum</a> , STM
14.30 – 15.15	<a href="#">Research Integrity in the spotlight; a Publisher's Perspective</a>	<a href="#">Catriona Fennell</a> , Elsevier
15.15 – 15.30	<i>Coffee break</i>	
15.30 – 16.15	Imagining future(s) for easier and better research work	<a href="#">Chris Hartgerink</a> , Liberate Science GmbH
16.15 – 16.45	What do researchers need from the other stakeholders?	Chair: <a href="#">Jeroen de Ridder</a> , Vrije Universiteit & Amsterdam UMC